Worksheet #1: Analysis of Student and School Data

| Student groups | % of this | # of | How severe is | How unique are the |
|------------------|-----------|-------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| not meeting | group | students in | this group's | learning needs of this |
| AYP (list groups | not | this group | failure? (high, | group? (high, medium, |
| below) | meeting | not | medium, low) | low) |
| , | AYP | meeting | , | , |
| | | AYP | | |

English/Language Arts

| Black | 54% | 109 | High | High |
|----------|-------|-----|------|------|
| Hispanic | 30.7% | 7 | High | High |
| FRL | 51.5% | 87 | High | High |

Mathematics

| Black | 49.3% | 101 | High | High |
|----------|--------|-----|------|------|
| Hispanic | 41.7 % | 10 | High | High |
| FRL | 47.7% | 83 | High | High |

| What are the key findings from the student achievement data that correspond to changes needed in curriculum, instruction, assessment, professional development and school leadership? | What is at the "root" of the findings? What is the underlying cause? |
|---|---|
| 50.6% of the total student population of students did not pass the ISTEP+ in ELA which includes, which includes 30.4% of Hispanic students and 51.5% of students receiving free and reduced lunch. 50% of our students are showing growth on NWEA in reading. | Students enter the school several years below grade level. Our teachers need more training on teaching reading & math strategies and instructional strategies. |
| | Our teachers need more feedback on instruction. |
| 48.2 % of the total student population of students did not pass the ISTEP+ in math, which includes 41.7% of Hispanic students. | Implement higher order thinking skills needs to be strengthened embedded through all courses. |
| which includes 41.7% of Hispanic students and 47.7% of students receiving free and reduced lunch. 50% of our students are showing growth on NWEA in math. | The rigor has to be increased in our reading and language arts programs and a focus must be placed on writing across the curriculum. |
| | Our math program needed a stronger curriculum. |
| | Students need more time on task in math. |

• Our teacher(s) needs to be certified in math.

Worksheet #2: Self-Assessment of Practices High-Performing Schools

| The Principal and Leadership | I | 2 | 3 | 4 | The Principal and Leadership |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| Spends most of the time managing the school. Is rarely in the classrooms. | | X | X | | Spends great deal of time in classrooms. Conducts frequent walk-throughs. |
| 3. Is not knowledgeable about English/ language arts or mathematics instruction. | | | ^ | | 3. Knows E/LA and mathematics instruction well and is able to assist teachers. |
| 4. Serves as lone leader of the school5. Must accept teachers based on seniority or other union agreements | | X | | | 4. Utilizes various forms of leadership teams and fosters teachers' development as leaders. |
| rather than on their effectiveness in the classroom. | | | | | 5. Is not bound by seniority rules in hiring and placement of teachers. |
| Instruction | I | 2 | 3 | 4 | Instruction |
| I. Is primarily lecture-style and teacher- centered. | | X | | | Includes a variety of methods that are student-centered. |
| 2. Places the same cognitive demands on all learners (no differentiation). | | X | X | | Provides various levels of cognitive demands (differentiation; Response to Instruction - RTI). |
| 3. Is primarily textbook-oriented.4. Does not include technology.5. Works alone, rarely meeting in or | | X | ^ | | 3. Uses multiple sources beyond textbooks. |
| across grade-level teams to discuss and improve. | | X | | | Includes frequent use of technology. |
| 6. Instruction is rarely evaluated and connections to student learning | | X | | | 5. Works in teams, discussing student learning and instructional ideas. |
| growth or increased graduation rates are not made. | | | | | 6. Instruction is evaluated through rigorous, transparent, and equitable |
| 7. Instruction is not increased to allow for more student learning time. | | | X | | processes that take into account student growth and increased graduation rates. |
| | | | | | 7. Schedules and strategies provide for increased student learning time. |

| Curriculum | ı | 2 | 3 | 4 | Curriculum |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| Leadership does not observe or evaluate teachers for use of the | | | | Х | I. Is observed by school leadership that it is being taught. |
| curriculum.2. Is considered to be the textbook or the state standards. | | Х | | | Is developed by the district/teachers based on unpacking the state |
| 3. Is not aligned within or across grade levels. | | | X | | standards. 3. Is aligned within and across grade |
| 4. Is not rigorous or cognitively demanding. | | X | | | levels. 4. Is rigorous and cognitively demanding. |
| 5. Is not available to all students, e.g., English language learners or students with disabilities as they are not present in the regular classroom during core instruction | | X | | | 5. Is accessible to all students through placement in regular classroom during instruction of the core curriculum. |
| time. 6. Is not differentiated for struggling students. | | | X | | 6. Is differentiated for struggling students. |
| Data - Formative Assessments | I | 2 | 3 | 4 | Data - Formative Assessments |
| Are not regularly used by teachers. Are not routinely disaggregated by | | | X | | Are used to implement an aligned instructional program. |
| teachers. | | | | | 2. Are used to provide differentiated |
| 3. Are not used to determine | | | Х | | instruction. |
| appropriate instructional strategies. | | | | | Are discussed regularly in teacher groups to discuss student work |
| Professional Development | I | 2 | 3 | 4 | Professional Development |
| I. Is individually selected by each | | | Х | | I. Is of high quality and job-embedded. |
| teacher; includes conferences and conventions. | | | | | 2. Is aligned to the curriculum and instructional program. |
| 2. Is not related to curriculum, instruction, or assessment. | | | X | | 3. Includes increasing staff's knowledge and skills in instructing English |
| 3. Is short, i.e., one-shot sessions.4. Does not include follow-up | | | X | | language learners and students with disabilities. |
| assistance, mentoring, or monitoring of classroom | | | X | | 4 Is developed long-term; focuses on improving curriculum, instruction, and |
| implementation. | | | | | formative assessments. |
| Parents, Family, Community | ı | 2 | 3 | 4 | Parents, Family, Community |
| Does not provide extended supports. 2. Does not onsure a safe school and | | X | | | Provides social and emotional supports from school and community organizations. |
| 2. Does not ensure a safe school and community environment for children. | | ^ | | | Creates a safe learning environment within the school and within the community. |
| | | X | | | Includes use of advisory periods to build student-adult relationships. |

| Cultural Competency | | 2 | 3 | 4 | Cultural Competency |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|
| Holds the belief that all students learn the same way. Uses the textbook to determine the | | Х | Х | | Holds the belief that students learn differently and provides for by using various instructional practices. |
| focus of study. | | | | | 2. Combines what learners need to |
| "Cultural instruction" is limited to study of flags, festivals, and foods of countries/people. | | X | | | know from the standards and curriculum with the needs in their lives. |
| 4. Does not investigate students' level of education prior to coming to the United States; home languages; the political/economic history; conditions | | X | | | 3. Provides culturally proficient instruction, allows learners to explore cultural contexts of selves and others. |
| of countries or groups. 5. Does not connect curriculum and learning to students' own life experiences as related to race, | | X | | | 4. Investigates students' education prior to coming to the United States; home languages; political/economic history; conditions of countries or groups. |
| ethnicity, or social class. | | | | | 5. Connects curriculum and learning to students' own life experiences as related to race, ethnicity or class. |

| What are the key findings from the self-assessment of high-performing schools? | What is at the "root" of the findings? What is the underlying cause? |
|--|--|
| The principal spends too much time managing the school. | The principal is split between two campuses, oversees state reporting, and manages the budget. |
| Teachers plan in isolation. | The schedule does not provide opportunities for grade-level teams to meet. |
| Class assignments are not rigorous and teacher expectations are not high enough. | Teachers need development in instructional & classroom strategies. Principal needs development in improving instructional practices. |
| Teachers need to differentiate. | Novice teachers need more training. |
| | Novice teachers need more training. |
| Cultural competence is low. Parental engagement is low. | Parents do not have transportation. |
| PBIS and school-wide discipline practices must be improved to ensure student safety. | Teachers are inexperienced in classroom management, conflict resolution skills, and character education. |
| | |

Appendix E: Alignment of Other Funding Sources to SIG Elements

| Element of the Intervention | Intervention | Resource | | | | | | |
|---|----------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Federal Resources | | | | | | | | |
| Use of research-based instructional practices that are vertically aligned across grade levels and the state standards | Transformation | Title I, Part A - regular and stimulus funds (schoolwide or targeted assistance programs) | | | | | | |
| Assistance with design and implementation of improvement plan including high-quality jobembedded professional development designed to assist schools in implementing the intervention model | Transformation | 1003(a) School Improvement Grant - AYP funds | | | | | | |
| Recruitment of teaching staff with skills and experience to effectively implement the selected intervention model | Transformation | Title II, Part A | | | | | | |
| Job-embedded staff development aligned to grant goals to assist English language learners | Transformation | Title III, Part A - LEP | | | | | | |
| State R | esources | | | | | | | |
| Focuses on early grade level intervention to improve the reading readiness and reading skills of students who are at risk of not learning to read. | Transformation | Early Intervention Grant Full Day Kindergarten Grant | | | | | | |
| High ability grants to provide resources that support high ability students. | Transformation | High Ability Grant | | | | | | |

Appendix F: LEA Application of General Information 2012-2013

School Improvement Grant (1003g) Application due April 30, 2012 Email application to 1003g@doe.in.gov

LEA Application: General Information

| Corporation Name: KIPP LEAD College Prep Charter | | Corporation Number: 9635 |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|
| Contact for the School Improvement Grant: Michelle Hassan | | |
| Position and Office: Principal | Contact's Ma 6060 Miller Gary, IN 46 | |
| Telephone: 219-979-9236; 219-938-6057 | Fax: 219-938 | 3-6085 |
| Email Address: mhassan@aqs.org | | |
| Superintendent (Printed name) Jasmine C. Mitchell | | Telephone: 773-606-8002 |
| Signature of Superintendent | | Date: 5/2/12 |
| X Please see scanned copy to be emailed | | |

- → Complete and submit this form one time only.
- → Complete a second form, "Tier I and II Application" or "Tier III Application" for each school applying for a school improvement grant.

I. Schools to be Served by LEA

Instructions:

- I) Using the list of Tier I, II and III schools provided by the IDOE, complete the information below, for all Tier I and II schools in the LEA typing in the school name and grade span (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12, 6-12, etc.).
- 2) Place an "X" indicating the tier and the school improvement model (intervention) selected, based on the "School Needs Assessment" conducted by the LEA. (Add cells to the table as needed to add more schools.)

Note: An LEA that has nine or more Tier I and Tier II schools may not implement the transformation model in more than 50 percent of those schools.

| | | | | Based on the "School Needs Assessment" tool, the LEA has determined this model for the school | | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------|-----------|------------|---|----------------|---------|---------|------------------------------------|--|
| School Name | Grade Span | Tier I | Tier II | Turn- around | Transformation | Restart | Closure | No model will be implemented | |
| I. KIPP LEAD Middle School | 5 - 10 | X | | | Х | | | | |
| 2. | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. | | | | | | | | | |
| 6. | | | | | | | | | |

2. Explanation if LEA is Not Applying to Serve Each Tier I School

| Χ | We will serve all of our Tier I schools. |
|---|---|
| | We believe we do not have the capacity to serve all Tier I schools. Our explanation for why is provided below |
| | |

3. Consultation with Stakeholders

Instructions:

- Consider the stakeholder groups that need to be consulted regarding the LEA's intent to implement a new school improvement model.
- Include the stakeholders (e.g., parents, community organizations) as early on as possible.
- Provide the name of the school and then the stakeholder group, type of communication (e.g., meeting, letter) and the date occurred. (Individual names are not needed*).

School Name: _KIPP LEAD Middle School______ School Number: _4097_____

| Stakeholder Group | Mode of Communication | Date |
|--------------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| Parents | Conferences | April 6, 2012 |
| Board of Directors | Meeting | April 18, 2012 |
| Teachers | Meeting | April 18, 2012 |
| | | |
| | | |

^{*}IDOE may request that the LEA produce documentation that lists the names of the stakeholders above.

D. Collaboration with Teachers' Unions

Not applicable - Charter school without teacher union

| E. Assurances | | | | |
|---------------|---|--|--|--|
| | 9635/ KIPP LEAD College Prep Charterassures that it will | | | |
| | Corporation/Charter School Name | | | |
| | _X I. Use its School Improvement Grant to implement fully and effectively an intervention in each Tier I and Tier II school that the LEA commits to serve consistent with the final requirements. | | | |
| | _X 2. Establish annual goals for student achievement on the State's assessments for both reading/language arts and mathematics and measure progress on the leading indicators in section III of the final requirements in order to monitor each Tier I and Tier II School that it serves with school improvement funds. | | | |
| | _X _ 3. If it implements a restart model in a Tier I or Tier II school, include in its contract or agreement terms and provisions to hold the charter operation, charter management organization, or education management organization accountable for complying with the final requirements. | | | |
| | _ X _ 4. Report to the SEA the school-level data required under section III of the final requirements. | | | |
| F. | Waivers | | | |
| | The LEA must check each waiver that the LEA will implement. If the LEA does not intend to implement the waiver with respect to each applicable school, the LEA must indicate for which schools it will implement the waiver. | | | |
| | ☑ Extending the period of availability of school improvement funds. | | | |
| | Note: Indiana has requested a waiver of the period of availability of school improvement funds and upon receipt, that waiver automatically applies to all LEAs in the State. | | | |
| | "Starting over" in the school improvement timeline for Tier I and Tier II Title I participating schools implementing a turnaround or restart model. | | | |
| | ☐ Implementing a schoolwide program in a Tier I or Tier II Title I participating school that does meet the 40 percent poverty eligibility threshold. | | | |

Appendix G: LEA Application for Each Tier I and Tier II School

School Improvement Grant (1003g) 2012-2013

LEA School Application: Tier I and Tier II

The LEA must complete this form for each Tier I or II school applying for a school improvement grant.

| School Corporation _KIPP LEAD Col | lege Prep Charter | Number _9635 | |
|---|-------------------|---|----|
| School Name KIPP LEAD Mi | ddle School | | |
| After completing the analysis of schoot the school intervention (improvement | _ | on-making process in this application, reach consensus as ckmark below: | to |
| ☐ Turnaround | ☐ Restart | | |
| X Transformation | ☐ Closure | | |
| | | | |

| Assurances | |
|---|---|
| KIPP LEAD College Prep Charter | assures that it will |
| Corporation/Charter School Name | |
| _X I. Use its School Improvement Grant to implement fully and effectively an integrated the LEA commits to serve consistent with the final requirements. | tervention in each Tier I and Tier II school that |
| _ X 2. Establish annual goals for student achievement on the State's assessments and measure progress on the leading indicators in section III of the final requirement. Tier II School that it serves with school improvement funds. | - - - - - - - - - - |
| _ X 3. If it implements a restart model in a Tier I or Tier II school, include in its hold the charter operation, charter management organization, or education r complying with the final requirements. | - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| _ X 4. Report to the SEA the school-level data required under section III of the | final requirements. |
| V aivers | |
| The LEA must check each waiver that the LEA will implement. If the LEA does not in each applicable school, the LEA must indicate for which schools it will implement the | · |
| ☑ Extending the period of availability of school improvement funds. | |
| Note: Indiana has requested a waiver of the period of availability of school improautomatically applies to all LEAs in the State. | ovement funds and upon receipt, that waiver |
| "Starting over" in the school improvement timeline for Tier I and Tier II Title I p or restart model. | articipating schools implementing a turnaround |
| ☐ Implementing a schoolwide program in a Tier I or Tier II Title I participating schooling eligibility threshold. | ool that does meet the 40 percent poverty |

A. LEA Analysis of School Needs

Student Leading Indicators

> Instructions:

- 1) Using school, student and teacher data, complete the table below
- 2) If the indicator is not applicable, such as "dropout rate" for an elementary school, write "NA" not applicable in the column.
- 3) Review the data and develop several key findings on the next page.

| | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 |
|---|-----------|-----------|
| Number of minutes within the school year that students are required to attend school | 84,165 | 87,435 |
| 2. Dropout rate* | N/A | N/A |
| 3. Student attendance rate (must be a percentage between 0.00 and 100.00) | 93.9% | 92.9% |
| 4. Number and percentage of students completing advanced coursework* (e.g., AP/IB), or advanced math coursework | 0% | 0% |
| 5. Number of students completing dual enrollment classes | 0% | 0% |
| 6. Types of increased learning time offered | LSY | LSY |
| LSY- Longer School Year | LSD | LSD |
| LSD- Longer School Day | BAS | BAS |

| BAS-Before/After School | SS | SS |
|--|-----|-----|
| SS- Summer School | WES | |
| WES-Weekend School | | |
| OTH-Other | | |
| 7. Discipline incidents* | 92 | 308 |
| 8. Truants (# of unduplicated students, enter as a whole number) | n/a | 5 |
| Distribution of teachers by performance level on LEA's teacher evaluation system | n/a | n/a |
| 10 Teacher attendance rate | n/a | 96% |

^{*}If this school is a high school, disaggregation of the data by student groups would be informative in your planning.

| What are key findings or summaries from the student leading indicator data? | What is at the "root" of the findings? What is the underlying cause? |
|--|---|
| Students are absent 7.1% of the time. High performing students are not challenged. | The school calendar needs to be more aligned with Gary Public school calendar, so students do not stay home with siblings during GPS school holidays. |
| Weekend classes are no longer offered. Most teachers score in the unsatisfactory or basic levels of evaluation. | Social and emotional needs of students are not properly addressed. Suspensions range on average from 38.5 days per month. Advanced coursework is not offered for high ability students. The budget is currently limited to school day learning activities. Ist year and novice teachers need much more instructional support. Not enough students are involved in afterschool intensives because of transportation. |
| | |

II. Selection of Improvement Model

| Based on our findings of the three data sources, the LEA is selecting this model for this school: \[\subseteq \text{Turnaround} \subseteq \text{Restart} \\ X \text{Transformation} \subseteq \text{Closure} \] | |
|--|--|
| Instructions: Reflect on the data, findings, root cause analysis, and self-assessment and the element models. As a team, reach consensus, as to the model that is the best fit for the school and that has implemented, to affect principal leadership, teacher instruction, and student learning. | |
| Intervention model selectedTransformation | |
| (1) Describe how the model corresponds to the data, findings, root cause analysis and self-assessment and led to the selected model. | |
| The transformational model aligns best with all of our "key/root" findings. Our findings identified our LEA in need of new leadership, more instructional rigor, removal of unsatisfactory teachers, means to recruit strong teachers, drastic adjustments to the schedule, opportunities to enhance teacher's knowledge of differentiated instruction, more professional development opportunities for teachers and stronger partnerships with parents and the community. | |
| (2) Describe how the model will create teacher, principal and student change. | |
| With the transformation model, the principal is replaced. By replacing our first year principal with a more experienced one, a professional with demonstrated student success that individual can lead the school to a new level. | |
| The strategies to recruit, place, and retain staff will allow us to replace novice teachers with a proven-track of student success. | |
| Establishing a new schedule will provide grade level teams with time for common planning to focus on instructional strategies, with the support of a content-area coach. This time will include time to analyze data and use it to inform instruction. Professional development will focus on differentiation, so based on data, students will receive targeted instruction. Plus provide opportunities for PD to enhance teachers cultural intelligence while, afterschool instruction will increase learning time for students. Lastly the transformation model will provide ongoing mechanisms for family and community engagement. All of these changes will enhance learning and achievement at our school. | |

C. LEA Capacity to Implement the Intervention Model

Instructions: Consider each topic under the column "Capacity Task" and determine if the district has or will have the ability to complete this task. Select "yes" or "no." List the evidence available and attach to the application for each task. (See Attachment A for scoring rubric).

| Capacity Task | Yes | No | District Evidence |
|--|-----|----|-------------------|
| The budget includes attention to each element of the selected intervention. All models | X | | Budget |
| The budget is sufficient and appropriate to support the full and effective implementation of the intervention for three years. All models | X | | Budget |
| Projected budgets meet the requirements of reasonable, allocable, and allowable. All models | X | | Budget |
| 4. The budget is planned at a minimum of \$50,000 and does not exceed two million per year per school. All models | X | | Budget |

| Capacity Task | Yes | No | District Evidence |
|---|-----|----|-------------------|
| The district has the resources to serve the number of Tier I, II, and III schools that are indicated. All models | X | | Budget |

| 6. A clear alignment exists between the goals and interventions model and the funding request (budget). | X | Goals & budget |
|---|---|----------------|
| All models | | |
| Funding requests for identified interventions are proportionately balanced and demonstrate an equitable distribution as identified in the SIG application | | |
| Funding should directly impact the schools improvement processes for supporting prescriptive and intentional designed interventions | | |
| Funding of programs, models, professional development, and staff should be directly linked to a School Improvement Goal identified in the SIG application | | |
| Funding supports the schools current capacity to improve student achievement | | |

| Capacity | Yes | No | District Evidence |
|--|-----|-----|-------------------------|
| 7. The LEA and school staff has the credentials and a demonstrated track record to implement the selected model. | X | | Data portfolio of staff |
| All models | | | |
| Data portfolios of incoming staff/leaders | | | |
| Highly Qualified in content of contractual agreement | | | |
| Samples of implemented school improvement plans with documented outcomes using data | | | |
| | X | | Staff surveys |
| 8. The district has received the support of the staff to fully implement the intervention model. | ^ | | Stall surveys |
| All models | | | |
| Staff Assurances | | | |
| Staff Surveys | | | |
| Staff Needs Assessments | | | |
| | | l . | |
| 9. The district has received the support of parents to fully implement the intervention model. | X | | Parent Meeting |
| All models | | | |
| Parent Meeting Agendas | | | |
| Parent Surveys | | | |
| Parent Focus Groups | | | |

| Capacity Task | Yes | No | District Evidence |
|--|-----|----|--------------------------|
| 10. The school board is fully committed to eliminating barriers to allow for the full implementation of the selected model. All models | | | School Board meeting |
| School Board Assurances | | | |
| School Board Meeting Minutes from proposal and or discussion Support the creation of a new turnaround office (or reorganization if additional schools are being added within a district) with an appointed turnaround leader having significant and successful experience in changing schools | | | |
| II. The superintendent is fully committed to eliminating barriers to allow for the full implementation of the selected model. | | | Superintendent Assurance |
| All models | | | |
| Superintendent Assurance | | | |
| School Board Meeting Minutes from proposal and or discussion | | | |
| Superintendent SIG Presentation Creation of a new turnaround office (or reorganization if additional schools are being added within a district) with an appointed turnaround leader having significant and successful experience in changing schools | | | |

| Capacity Task | Yes | No | District Evidence |
|---|-----|----|-------------------|
| 12. The teacher's union is fully committed to eliminating barriers to allow for the full implementation of the model, including but not limited to teacher evaluations, hiring and dismissal procedures and length of the school day. | | | Non-unionized |
| Turnaround, Transformation Models | | | |
| Teacher Union Assurance | | | |
| An outline of amendments to SIG Teacher contracts that will allow for full implementation of the identified model | | | |

| The district has the ability to recruit new principals. Turnaround, Transformation Models | X | Partnership with New Leaders for New Schools and Teach for America |
|---|---|--|
| Partnerships with outside educational organizations (TFA, New Teachers for New Leaders) and or universities | | |
| Statewide and national postings External networking | | |

| Capacity Task | Yes | No | District Evidence |
|---|-----|----|----------------------------|
| 14. The district has a robust process in place to select the principal and staff. Turnaround, Transformation Models Principal and staff hiring practices Principal and staff transfer policies/procedures principal and staff recruitment, placement and retention procedures | X | | Staffing Selection Process |
| 15. The timeline is detailed and realistic, demonstrating the district's ability to fully implement the intervention during the 2011-2012 school year. | | | Timeline |
| All models | | | |
| Monthly focus with identified objectives Smart Goals Measurable Outcomes (consisting of transformative, formative, and summative data) Streamline and scaffolded focus aligned to key findings and root causes in SIG application | | | |

| Capacity Task | Yes | No | District Evidence |
|---|-----|----|-------------------------|
| 16. District staff has high levels of expertise and successful experience in researching, and | X | | Vitas of district staff |

| The school community has been purposefully engaged multiple times to inform them of progress and seek their input. All models | X | School board meetings Agendas & sign in sheets |
|--|---|--|
| Town Hall Meetings | | |
| Town Hall Meeting Postings (news paper, district website, parent newsletters, public flyers) | | |
| Town Hall sign in sheets | | |
| Community Partner Assurances | | |
| Documentation of mailings | | |
| implementing the selected intervention model. | | |
| Turnaround, Transformation, Restart Models | | |
| Professional Development sign in sheets aligned to SIG funded PD | | |
| Support framework of district staff aligned to areas of need as identified in the SIG application (Staff member, area of expertise, support provided to the school, frequency) | | |

| Capacity Task | Yes | No | District Evidence |
|---|-----|----|-------------------|
| 18. The district demonstrates the ability to align federal, state, and local funding sources with grant activities. | X | | Budget |
| All models | | | |
| Title I | | | |
| Title II | | | |
| Title III | | | |
| • IDEA | | | |
| E-Rate | | | |
| • TAP | | | |
| | | | |

| 19. The district demonstrates the ability and commitment to increased instructional time. | X | School schedule with added time for Afterschool intensive |
|---|---|---|
| Turnaround, Transformation Models | | |
| Increased instructional time is structured and embedded into the schools' daily schedule and or school calendar | | |
| Increased learning time for students is tiered and supported by licensed and/or highly qualified educators | | |
| A needs assessment has been completed to identify areas where extended time can be most effectively used | | |
| Increased learning time is structured as a vehicle to support differentiated learning (ex:) | | |

| 0 / |
|-----------------------|
| 0 : |
| 0 : |
| 0 1 |
| 0 : |
| Compeni identified |
| O S O S O S Compen |

D. LEA Commitments (Actions) for All School Intervention/Improvement Models

> Instructions:

- 1) All districts, regardless of the school improvement model that will be implemented, are to complete the table below.
- 2) There are five required LEA commitments or actions that districts have already taken or plan to take in school year 2012-13.
- 3) In the second column, provide a short description of how the commitment was completed or the district's plan to complete it
- 4) For how the descriptions of commitments will be scored, see the scoring rubric in Attachment B.

| Indicators of LEA Commitment | Description of how this commitment was or will be completed |
|---|---|
| I. Design and implement school | Based on the school needs assessment, it is evident that students need more |
| intervention model consistent with | rigorous class work and time to work on skills that they struggle in. Our |
| federal application requirements. | improvement consists of supporting students, training teachers, and improving |
| The IDOE will assess the LEA's | leadership. |
| commitment to design and implement an appropriate intervention model and school improvement activities by requiring the LEA to document a process that may include, but will not be limited to: | In order to support students, the school needs additional staffing and technology to implement interventions for academics and behavior. In order to improve teacher quality, we will offer a pay differential to bring in quality staff (especially in math), provide coaches to improve instruction, and provide on-going, focused professional development. A reading and math coach will implement student interventions, |
| (a) Assessing the completed SIG School Needs Assessment to identify the greatest needs; | conduct staff professional development, and parent literacy and numeracy workshops. |
| (b) Assessing the LEA and school's capacity (staff, resources, etc.) to implement specific interventions and school improvement activities; | After 5 years of having less than 65% of students pass ELA and/or math, the school is primed for a transformational intervention. The school will use resources from other state grants to implement this transformation. The SIG will catapult the school in the direction that it needs to go in to bring in new leadership, train |
| (c) Assessing the alignment of the LEA and school improvement processes for | teachers, and provide intensive supports for students. The entire school community is behind making things better and having a successful school. |

supporting the designed interventions;

- (d) Assessing other resources that will support the design and implementation efforts of selected interventions:
- (e) Assessing the engagement of stakeholders (staff, parents, community, etc.) to provide input into the design and implementation process;
- (f) Assessing the scheduling of regular (at least biweekly) data meetings to identify school/ teacher/ student weaknesses and to adjust plans for supports to address those weaknesses;
- (g) Assessing the communication with selected provider(s) to plan Professional Development and support based on assessed needs (at least biweekly),
- (h) Maintaining accurate documentation of meetings and communications,
- (i) Following and/or revising schedules, goals, and timeline as needed, and
- (j) Submitting all data/forms to the IDOE and/or USDE in accordance to timeline.

Teachers and intervention staff members will meet twice per week to analyze and review data and ensure proper implementation of classroom, RTI, and afterschool student interventions. Teachers will, with the assistance of coaches, adjust instructional strategies to meet the needs of learners.

In order to meet the professional development needs of teachers, weekly large-group PD will be held on Wednesday afternoons. Four Saturdays will also be built into the PD schedule in order to meet the needs of teachers, in addition to the one week of PD, which will occur prior to the school year beginning. Teachers will also receive real-time coaching weekly and instructional feedback daily from a content-area coach or team leader. Teachers will also use the online program "PD 360" for school-directed and self-directed PD. All professional development sessions will be documented with an agenda and sign-in sheet.

After the instructional team and teachers analyze data, students who do not meet standards on ISTEP+, NWEA, and Acuity will be mandated to participate in afterschool intervention and summer programming to specifically work on areas of need. The staff will look at data and update intervention groups based on skills that students need more work in. During the school day, small groups of students will work the RTI specialist, literacy coach or math coach for RTI. These groups will vary in size from 3-5. Students will also be offered some one-on-one time based on their need. All student interventions will be documented with parent authorization, student data, and intervention sessions.

Students will work at home on the weekends on online-based intervention software to increase skills. Parents will sign contracts to ensure child participation. Families will be engaged to learn how to best support students at home with academics and behaviors.

The school schedule is being revised to allow for student interventions and RTI, based on data, from the beginning of the school year. The new schedule will also allow for time for biweekly common planning time and data analysis and more weekly PD.

| Indicators of LEA Commitment | Description of how this commitment was or will be completed |
|--|--|
| | |
| (2) The LEA has or will recruit, | Based on information gathered through research, experience, and partnerships, |
| screen, selects and support | KIPP LEAD College Prep Charter will partner with: |
| appropriate external providers. | |
| The IDOE will assess the LEA's | Canter to provide classroom and school wide-professional development for |
| commitment to recruit, screen, and select | teachers, staff, and administrators |
| external providers by requiring the LEA to document a process for assessing external | Origins to provide professional development for teachers and |
| provider quality which may include, but | administrators on building classroom community |
| will not be limited to: | , , |
| | UMOJA to provide the teachers, the Behavioral Specialist, and Student |
| (a) Identifying external providers based on | Support Counselor with student personal development programs, strategies |
| each school's SIG needs; | & lessons that will improve student behavior and engagement in school |
| (b) Interviewing and analyzing external | Research for Better Teaching to provide instructional professional |
| providers to determine evidence-based | development for teachers and administrators |
| effectiveness, experience, expertise, and | development for teachers and administrations |
| documentation to assure quality and | North Star Academy to provide development in data analysis and |
| efficiency of each external provider based on | interventions. |
| each schools identified SIG needs; | |
| (c) Selecting an external provider based | Dr. Jawanza Kunjufu to provide staff training on cultural competence |
| upon the provider's commitment of timely | Dr. Alfred Tatum to provide teacher, coach and administrator training on |
| and effective implementation and the ability | cultural literacy and improving reading |
| to meet school needs; | cantal an interior, and improving routing |
| (d) Alterior de calacticità del calacticità de | A member of the leadership team will monitor implementation of partnerships and |
| (d) Aligning the selection with existing efficiency and capacity of LEA and school | communication with partners, professional development for the staff, and |
| resources, specifically time and personnel; | interventions for students. This administrator will ensure the completion of state |
| . 230di ees, specificany time and personner, | reports and grant action steps. |
| (e) Assessing the regular (at least biweekly) | All anafassional devalorment assessors will have an around airm in the st 0 |
| communication with the selected service | All professional development sessions will have an agenda, sign-in sheet & |

provider(s) to ensure that supports are taking place and are adjusted according to the school's identified needs.

- (f) Assessing the utilization of multiple sources of data to evaluate the effectiveness of the supports provided (at least biweekly) and reporting the results to the IDOE.
- (g) Assessing the monitoring of records for quality and frequency of supports provided by the selected service provider(s),
- (h) Assessing the in-school presence (at least one day a week) to monitor the interactions of the school administration, faculty, and staff with the selected service provider(s) to ensure the full implementation of supports; and
- (i) Assessing the recording and reporting of progress to school, LEA, IDOE, and USDE. Intervention and school improvement activity providers will be held to the same criteria as external providers.

evaluations. The team leaders and coaches will lead teachers in reflecting on their practice based on instructional feedback and professional development.

Team leaders will meet with teachers biweekly to ensure the analysis of data and focused supports for students. Content-based coaches will work with teachers on matching instructional strategies with the needs of learners.

| Indicators of LEA Commitment | Description of how this commitment was or will be completed | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| 3. Align other resources with the school improvement model. (For examples of resources and how they might align, see Attachment C). | | | | |
| The IDOE will assess the LEA's commitment to align other resources with the interventions by requiring the LEA to document a process which may include, but will not be limited to: | Title I, Part A – currently funds Supplemental Education services; school aides – who assist with RTI implementation; and educational supplies. | Title I, Part A - regular and stimulus funds (school wide or targeted assistance programs) | | |
| (a) Identifying resources currently being utilized in an academic support capacity;(b) Identifying additional and/or potential resources that may be utilized in an | I003(a) helps to support transportation for Supplemental education services; an instructional coach, and professional development in literacy. | 1003(a) School Improvement Grant - AYP funds | | |
| academic support capacity; (c) Assessing the alignment of other federal, state, and local resources based on evidence-based effectiveness and impact with the design of interventions; | | | | |
| (d) Assessing the alignment of other federal, state, and local resources with the goals and timeline of the grant (e.g., fiscal, personnel, time allotments/scheduling, curriculum, instruction, technology | | | | |

| resources/equipment); | Title II, Part A has | Title II, Part A | The operations and finance coordinator will |
|--|---|--------------------------------|--|
| (e) Conducting regularly scheduled reviews of the resource alignment to ensure all areas are operating fully and effectively to meet the intended outcomes or making adjustments as necessary; (f) Redirecting resources that are not being used to support the school improvement process; and | been instrumental in supporting professional development for teachers and the recruitment of new teachers. | | assure proper allocation of resources, under the direction of the Principal. The Superintendent will visit the school weekly to ensure full and quality implementation of the school improvement grant. |
| (g) Assessing the presence (minimum of one day per week the first year) in the school to monitor the implementation of the interventions by school administration, faculty, and staff as well as interactions with the selected service provider(s) to ensure the full implementation of supports. | We will add full day Kindergarten in order to bring students in at the foundational level to give them the best instruction and supports at an earlier age. | Full day Kindergarten grant | |

4. Modify LEA practices and policies to enable the school to implement the intervention model fully and effectively.

The IDOE will assess the LEA's commitment to modify its practices or policies, if necessary, to enable it to implement the interventions fully and effectively by requiring the LEA to document a process which may include, but will not be limited to:

- (a) Identifying IDOE and/or LEA challenges that may slow or halt the school improvement implementation process;
- (b) Assessing, designing, and implementing a policy modification protocol that includes input that may include state and local education agency administrators, board members, and personnel; and
- (c) Developing an ongoing process to assess areas that may be considered for policy and process modification that include, but will not be limited to:
- (i) school administrator and staff hiring practices;
- (ii) school administrator and staff transfer procedures;
- (iii) school administrator and staff dismissal procedures;

Based on a review of the historical and current data, the school has no option but to improve. It is urgent and critical to implement a transformational school improvement process in order to improve student outcomes. The Superintendent will oversee the school improvement process and provide support for full, successful implementation, including overcoming challenges.

Teachers and coaches will undergo a rigorous hiring practice, which includes a demo lesson. The leadership team and coaches will conduct targeted walkthroughs and observations of teachers and provide quality feedback. Teachers will be evaluated using the Charlotte Danielson framework for teaching, which considers student growth. Through partnership with New Leaders for New Schools, the Superintendent will develop evaluations for the administrators.

All staff evaluations will predominately include specific goals for school and student performance and rate according to meeting those goals. Goals will be reviewed quarterly. Teachers and administrators who do not meet expectations will be put on an action plan, coached, and removed, if necessary. Staff who meet and/or exceed expectations will receive performance incentives.

The Principal will meet monthly with the Board members and Superintendent to provide an academic and behavior report. The Principal will also receive input from Ball State.

| (iv) school administrator and staff evaluation procedures [predominately based (at least 51%) on school and student performance data] | The administration will modify the school schedule to allow for more time on task, interventions during the day and afterschool, common planning time, data analysis, and professional development. |
|---|---|
| (v) school administrator and staff rewards for increased student achievement and/or graduation rate; | |
| (vi) school administrator and staff recruitment, placement and retention procedures; and | |
| (vii) altering the traditional school day and/or calendar to include additional instructional and planning time. | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| Indicators of LEA Commitment | Description of how this action was or will be completed |
|---|---|
| 5. Sustain the model after the funding period ends. | |

The IDOE will assess the LEA's commitment to sustain the reforms after the funding period ends by requiring the LEA to document a process that may include, but will not be limited to:

- (a) Developing school improvement planning processes that support sustainability of education reform protocol;
- (b) Developing processes to assure effective training of school leadership staff to ensure the understanding and efficient implementation of interventions into operating flexibility of the school;
- (c) Developing processes to assure effective training of school staff to ensure the understanding and efficient implementation of interventions into the classroom curriculum and activities;
- (d) Identifying alternative funding sources to sustain operational protocol that may require financial support;
- (e) Identifying meaningful professional development for school leadership and staff that support short-term and long-term initiatives of educational improvement;
- (f) Demonstrating a commitment to the continuous development of teacher knowledge and skills to incorporate changes into their instruction as

This grant will be used to recruit quality staff. Staff development will build the capacity of the team. Interventions will improve student achievement. A culture of high achievement will emerge from this grant and other state and federal grants will be able to sustain the new culture of academic achievement.

The Superintendent, who has expertise as a turnaround administrator, will provide professional development for the administration prior to the start of the school year to ensure full and quality implementation of the school improvement process and successful sustainability beyond the SIG grant. The operations and finance coordinator will seek outside grants and alternative funding to support the school beyond the SIG grant.

The school staff will receive quality professional development prior to the beginning of the school year and this development will be on-going throughout the year.

School improvement planning will be ongoing, which will include an analysis of school alignment of resources with the school's mission. The school leadership team will participate in ongoing professional development to hone its leadership skills. The leadership team will evaluate teachers using the Charlotte Danielson framework for teaching.

A member of the administration will monitor the school improvement process, student interventions, and professional development.

evidenced by an extensive action plan; (g) Developing an evaluation system that measures short-term and long-term, multi-level implementation of interventions, as well as the measurement of effectiveness of supporting initiatives and policy; (h) Development of a process to embed interventions and school improvement activities in an extensive strategic long-term plan to sustain gains in student achievement; (i) Developing an evaluation system to monitor strategic checkpoints and end of the year results and outcomes to inform and assist practitioners with problem-solving and decision-making that supports short-term and long-term educational fidelity; (j) Developing a process to sustain alignment of resources with the school's mission, goals, and needs; (k) Planning a growth model for both the fiscal and human capital within the LEA for implementation and sustainability of interventions and school improvement activities; (I) Establishing and implementing accountability processes that provide effective oversight of the interventions,

| school improvement activities, financial management, and operations of the school. | |
|--|--|
| management and an exiting of the | |
| management, and operations of the | |
| school. | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

4. Implementation of Specific Intervention Models: Turnaround, Transformational, Restart, Closure

| Turnaround Model | (Guidance Document, Section B, pa | ges 26-31) |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| | ☐ We will implement this model. | X We will not implement this model - move to next model. |
| Transformation Mode | (Guidance Document, Sect | ion E, pages 36-42) |
| | X We will implement this m | odel. ☐ We will not implement this model – move to next model. |

If implementing the transformation model, complete the table below.

| Elements | Tasks | Lead Person/ Position | Time Period (month) |
|--|---|---|---------------------|
| I. Replace the principal who led the school prior to implementing the model. | Select a new principal with a proven track record of success. | Jasmine C. Mitchell, Superintendent | May |

| 2. | Use evaluation systems for | Train instructional coaches and teachers on Charlotte | Principal | August – April |
|----|--------------------------------|---|-----------|----------------|
| | teachers and principals that | Danielson framework of teaching. | | annually |
| | consider student growth and | | | |
| | assessments; develop with | Use the Charlotte Danielson framework of teaching for | | |
| | teacher/principal involvement. | evaluation. | | |

| 3. | Reward school leaders, teachers, staff who, in implementing this model, increased student achievement or high school graduation rates; remove those who, after professional development, have not. | Analyze test data and implement interventions. Give bonuses based on evaluations and student outcomes. Retain or remove staff based on evaluations and student outcomes. | Principal | September – April annually |
|----|--|--|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
| | Elements | Tasks | Lead Person/ Position | Time Period (month) |
| 4. | Provide high quality, job-embedded professional development. | Create professional development plan based on data and needs of teaching staff. | Principal | August – June annually |
| 5. | Implement strategies to recruit, place, retain staff (financial incentives, promotion, career growth, flexible work time). | Post teacher positions nationally Amend school budget to offer incentives: signing bonus and quarterly bonuses Partner with New Leaders for New Schools and Teach for America Write grant for TAP | Principal | May – June annually |
| 6. | Provide increased learning time for students and staff. | Modify school schedule to allow more time on task in math. Add intensive afterschool reading and math interventions | Principal | August – June annually |

| | Implement weekly, job-embedded, data focused professional development | | |
|--|---|----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| 7. Use data to implement an aligned instructional program. | Analyze and triangulate data from NWEA, Acuity, and ISTEP+ Implement instructional practices aligned to data. | Leadership team & teachers | August – June annually |

| | Elements | Tasks | Lead Person/ Position | Time Period (month) |
|-----|---|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 8. | Promote the use of data to inform and differentiate instruction. | Build capacity of leadership team to conduct individual teacher and grade-level data meetings Hold conduct individual teacher and grade-level data meetings | Principal & Leadership team | August – June annually |
| | | Teachers present data to staff | | |
| | | Implement RTI and afterschool intensives based on data | | |
| 9. | Provide mechanisms for family and community engagement. | Host monthly parental involvement event to assist parents in supporting students academically and socially Obtain counseling for students in need | Parent Advocate | September – May annually |
| 10 | Give the school sufficient operational flexibility (staffing, calendars/time, budgeting). | Hire staff with proven track record of success Change school schedule Leadership team to focus on instructional leadership | Principal | May – June annually |
| 11. | LEA and, SEA supports school with ongoing, intensive technical assistance and support. | Provide job-embedded professional development during the work day and on a few selected Saturdays | Principal | August – June annually |

If implementing the transformation model, explain how the recruitment and selection of a new principal will take place.

In order to recruit a principal with a proven track record of success, the LEA will immediately partner with New Leaders for New Schools and Teach for America as well as post the position nationally. Candidates for the principalship will:

- 1. Complete an intense application with essays
- 2. Interview individually with the Superintendent
- 3. Perform a school walk through and teacher observations
- 4. Provide instructional feedback to a teacher
- 5. Present a plan based on current school data and school walk through
- Interview with School board

Pre-Implementation

Describe proposed activities to be carried out during the pre-implementation period, including a proposed budget.

Action: Post for a school principal and school staff and begin the interview process; contact providers and schedule professional development dates; cross school observations; end of the year parent conferences to discuss final student data; plan summer student literacy enrichment; revise the school schedule;

Timeline: May and June 2012

Budget: Use current grant resources

Check Your Work - Additional Requirements for All Models

| Requirement | Yes | No |
|---|-----|----|
| I. All the elements of the selected intervention model are included. | X | |
| 2. The descriptions of how <i>all</i> of the elements will be or have been implemented are specific, logical and comprehensive. | X | |
| 3. The timeline demonstrates that <i>all</i> of the model's elements will be implemented during the 2012-2013 school year. | Х | |

| Restart Model (Guid | dance Document, Section C, pages 31 | 1-34) |
|---------------------|---|--|
| | \square We will implement this model. | X We will not implement this model - move to next model. |
| School Closure | (Guidance Document, Section D, p | ages 34-35) |
| | \square We will implement this model. | X We will not implement this model – do not complete. |

5. Annual Goals for Tier I and Tier II Schools for Accountability

Instructions:

- I) Review the results of the two worksheets "Analysis of Student and School Data" and "Self-Assessment of High-poverty, High-performing School," the findings, and the root cause analysis.
- 2) Based on the baseline student data for ISTEP+ and/or end-of-course assessments, develop:
 - o One English/language arts goal for "all students."
 - o One mathematics goal for "all students."
 - o For examples of goals, see guidance document, H-25, p. 41.
- 3) Schools serving students in grade 12 must also include a goal related to graduation.
- 4) Include goals for the three-year duration of the grant.

Note: Goals must be measureable and aggressive, yet attainable.

| SY 2010-2011 Baseline Data (most recent available data that | Annual Goals | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| corresponds to the proposed goals) | SY 2011-2012 | SY 2012-2013 | SY 2013-2014 | |
| 52.8% of all students are proficient on ISTEP+ mathematics | 72% of all students are proficient on ISTEP+ mathematics | 82% of all students are proficient on ISTEP+ mathematics | 92% of all students are proficient on ISTEP+ mathematics | |
| 49.4 % of all students are proficient on ISTEP+ ELA | 70% of all students are proficient on ISTEP+ ELA | 80% of all students are proficient on ISTEP+ ELA | 90% of all students are proficient on ISTEP+ ELA | |

II: Budget

Instructions:

- 1) Complete the budget pages provided in the attached Excel file for the three years (see copies in Attachment B). Electronically select each "tab" for years 2011-2012, 2012-2013, 2013-2014.
- 2) Indicate the amount of school improvement funds the school will use for each year of the grant period to implement the selected model in the school it commits to serve.
- 3) The total amount of funding per year must total no less than \$50,000 and no greater than \$2,000,000 per year.
- Note: The LEA's budget must cover the period of availability, including any extension wanted through a waiver, and be of sufficient size and scope to implement the selected school improvement model in the school(s) the LEA commits to serve. It would be permissible to include LEA-level activities designed to support implementation of the selected school improvement model in the LEA's school.
- 4) Describe how the LEA will align federal, state, and local funding sources with grant activities. (see Attachment D for suggestions)

| Use of <i>research-based instructional practices</i> that are vertically aligned across grade levels and the state standards | Title I, Part A - regular and stimulus funds (school wide or targeted assistance programs) |
|---|--|
| Assistance with design and implementation of <i>improvement plan</i> including high-quality job-embedded professional development designed to assist schools in implementing the intervention model | 1003(a) School Improvement Grant - AYP funds |
| Recruitment of teaching staff with skills and experience to effectively implement the selected intervention model | Title II, Part A |
| Focuses on early grade level intervention to improve the reading readiness and reading skills of students who are at risk of not learning to read. | Full day Kindergarten grant |

LEAD will align its federal **Title I,(Part A)**, 1003(a) AYP funds, and Title II to the grant to support the following elements of transformational interventions: Use of *research-based instructional practices* that are vertically aligned across grade levels and the state standards **(Title, Part A)**, Assistance with design and implementation of *improvement plan* including high-quality job-embedded professional development designed to assist schools in implementing the intervention model **(1003a)** and *Recruitment of teaching staff* with skills and experience to effectively implement the selected intervention model **(Title II, Part A)**.

Lead will offer Kindergarten and aligns its state **Kindergarten grant** to support the focuses on early grade level intervention to improve the reading readiness and reading skills of students who are at risk of not learning to read.

The school improvement grant is critical to transform our school and to realize high student achievement. Careful alignment and future implementation of other grant funding will allow the sustainability of a culture of high student achievement.

Submit all materials in this document, including the two worksheets in this application to IDOE

Attachment A: LEA Budget Capacity Scoring Rubric

| Capacity Task | Yes | No | IDOE Comments |
|--|-----|----|---------------|
| The budget includes attention to each element of the selected intervention. All models | | | |
| The budget is sufficient and appropriate to support the full and effective implementation of the intervention for three years. All models | | | |
| Projected budgets meet the requirements of reasonable, allocable, and allowable. All models | | | |
| 4. The budget is planned at a minimum of \$50,000 and does not exceed two million per year per school. All models | | | |
| 5. The district has the resources to serve the number of Tier I, II, and III schools that are indicated. All models | | | |

| | | | |
|-----|---|--|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| 6. | A clear alignment exists between the goals and interventions model and the funding request (budget). | | |
| ΑII | models | | |
| | Funding requests for identified interventions are proportionately balanced and demonstrate an equitable distribution as identified in the SIG application | | |
| | Funding should directly impact the schools improvement processes for supporting prescriptive and intentional designed interventions | | |
| | Funding of programs, models, professional development, and staff should be directly linked to a School Improvement Goal identified in the SIG application | | |
| | Funding supports the schools current capacity to improve student achievement | | |
| 7. | The LEA and school staff has the credentials and a demonstrated track record to implement the selected model. | | |
| All | models | | |
| | Data portfolios of incoming staff/leaders | | |
| | Highly Qualified in content of contractual agreement | | |
| | Samples of implemented school improvement plans with documented outcomes using data | | |

| II. The superintendent is fully committed to eliminating barriers to allow for the full implementation of the selected model. | |
|---|--|
| All models | |
| Superintendent Assurance | |
| School Board Meeting Minutes from proposal and or discussion | |
| Superintendent SIG Presentation Creation of a new turnaround office (or reorganization if additional schools are being added within a district) with an appointed turnaround leader having significant and successful experience in changing schools | |
| | |
| 12. The teacher's union is fully committed to eliminating barriers to allow for the full implementation of the model, including but not limited to teacher evaluations, hiring and dismissal procedures and length of the school day. | |
| Turnaround, Transformation Models | |
| Teacher Union Assurance | |
| An outline of amendments to SIG Teacher contracts that will allow for full implementation of the identified model | |
| 13. The district has the ability to recruit new principals. | |

| 1 |
|---|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

| Streamline and scaffolded focus aligned to key findings and root causes in SIG application | |
|---|--|
| 16. District staff has high levels of expertise and successful experience in researching, and implementing the selected intervention model. Turnaround, Transformation, Restart Models Professional Development sign in sheets aligned to SIG funded PD Support framework of district staff aligned to areas of need as identified in the SIG application (Staff member, area of expertise, support provided to the school, frequency) | |
| 17. The school community has been purposefully engaged multiple times to inform them of progress and seek their input. All models Town Hall Meetings Town Hall Meeting Postings (news paper, district website, parent newsletters, public flyers) Town Hall sign in sheets Community Partner Assurances Documentation of mailings | |

| 18. The district demonstrates the ability to align federal, state, and local funding sources with grant activities. All models | |
|--|--|
| Title I Title III IDEA E-Rate TAP | |
| 19. The district demonstrates the ability and commitment to increased instructional time. | |
| Turnaround, Transformation Models Increased instructional time is structured and embedded into the schools' daily schedule and or school calendar | |
| Increased learning time for students is tiered and supported by licensed and/or highly qualified educators | |
| A needs assessment has been completed to identify areas where extended time can be most effectively used | |
| Increased learning time is structured as a vehicle to support differentiated learning (ex:) | |
| An additional block of time embedded into the school day | |
| Summer enrichment/remediation | |

| Saturday intervention | | |
|--|--|--|
| Before or after school enrichment/remediation | | |
| School vacation weeks | | |
| Compensation for extended day is identified by the LEA | | |

Attachment B: LEA Commitments Scoring Rubric

| Exceptional 3 points | Adequate 2 points | Inadequate I point |
|---|--|---|
| Full completion of worksheets, "Analysis of Student and School Data" and "Self-Assessment of Practices of High-Poverty, High-Performing Schools" All of the required data sources have been provided All of the analysis (findings) from the data and the root cause analysis are logical The alignment between the needs of the school and the model chosen is specifically and conclusively demonstrated as appropriate. | Some completion of worksheets, "Analysis of Student and School Data" and "Self-Assessment of Practices of High-Poverty, High-Performing Schools" Some of the required data sources have been provided Some of the analysis (findings) from the data and the root cause analysis is accurate A general alignment between the needs of the school and the model chosen is has been demonstrated | No completion of worksheets, "Analysis of Student and School Data" and "Self-Assessment of Practices of High-Poverty, High-Performing Schools" Little to none of the required data sources have been provided and/or the analysis (findings) is lacking or minimal Little or no use of root cause analysis and/or causes are illogical and not based on data The alignment of the school and its needs and the improvement model chosen is lacking or minimal. |

(2) Recruit, screen, and select external providers, if applicable, to ensure their quality. **Exceptional Adequate** Inadequate 3 points 2 points **I**point There is exceptional evidence of a There is adequate evidence of There is inadequate evidence process for recruiting, screening, and a process for recruiting, of a process for recruiting, selecting an external provider. screening, and selecting an screening, and selecting an external provider. external provider. All of the decisive factors regarding the process for recruiting, screening Most of the decisive factors Some or none of the decisive and selecting an external provider are regarding the process for factors regarding the process addressed and thoroughly explained. recruiting, screening and for recruiting, screening and selecting an external provider selecting an external provider are addressed and adequately The LEA includes a comprehensive are addressed and inadequately process for recruiting, screening and explained. explained. selecting an external provider to meet the needs identified. Minor changes are needed to The plan is not consistent with the LEA process for recruiting, the final requirements and the screening and selecting an process for recruiting, external provider to meet the screening, and selecting an needs identified. external provider does not meet the identified needs.

| (3) Align other resources with the interventions. | | |
|---|----------|------------|
| Exceptional | Adequate | Inadequate |
| 3 points | 2 points | l point |

There is exceptional evidence of a process for aligning resources with the selected model, interventions, and/or school improvement activities.

All of the decisive factors regarding the process for aligning resources with the selected model, interventions, and/or school improvement activities are addressed and thoroughly explained.

The LEA includes a comprehensive process for aligning resources with the selected model, interventions, and/or school improvement activities to meet the needs identified.

There is adequate evidence of a process for aligning resources with the selected model, interventions, and/or school improvement activities.

Most of the decisive factors regarding the process for aligning resources with the selected model, interventions, and/or school improvement activities are addressed and adequately explained.

Minor changes are needed to the LEA process for aligning resources with the selected model, interventions, and/or school improvement activities to meet the needs identified. There is inadequate evidence of a process for aligning resources with the selected model, interventions, and/or school improvement activities.

Some or none of the decisive factors regarding the process for aligning resources with the selected model, interventions, and/or school improvement activities are addressed and inadequately explained.

The plan is not consistent with the final requirements and the process for aligning resources with the selected model, interventions, and/or school improvement activities does not meet the identified needs.

| (4) Modify LEA practices or policies, if necessary, to enable it to implement the interventions fully and effectively. | | |
|--|--|--|
| Exceptional Adequate Inadequate | | |
| 3 points 2 points I point | | |

There is exceptional evidence of a process for modifying practices and policies to enable full and effective implementation of the selected model, interventions, and/or school improvement activities.

All of the decisive factors regarding the process for modifying practices and policies to enable full and effective implementation of the selected model, interventions, and/or school improvement activities are addressed and thoroughly explained.

The LEA includes a comprehensive process for modifying practices and policies to enable full and effective implementation of the selected model, interventions, and/or school improvement activities to meet the needs identified.

There is adequate evidence of a process for modifying practices and policies to enable full and effective implementation of the selected model, interventions, and/or school improvement activities.

Most of the decisive factors regarding the process for modifying practices and policies to enable full and effective implementation of the selected model, interventions, and/or school improvement activities are addressed and adequately explained.

Minor changes are needed to the LEA process for modifying practices and policies to enable full and effective implementation of the selected model, interventions, and/or school improvement activities to meet the needs identified. There is inadequate evidence of a process for modifying practices and policies to enable full and effective implementation of the selected model, interventions, and/or school improvement activities.

Some or none of the decisive factors regarding the process for modifying practices and policies to enable full and effective implementation of the selected model, interventions, and/or school improvement activities are addressed and inadequately explained.

The plan is not consistent with the final requirements and the process for modifying practices and policies to enable full and effective implementation of the selected model, interventions, and/or school improvement activities does not meet the identified needs.

| (5) Sustain the reforms after the funding period ends. | | |
|--|----------|------------|
| Exceptional | Adequate | Inadequate |
| 3 points | 2 points | l point |

There is exceptional evidence of a process for sustaining reforms after the funding period ends.

All of the decisive factors regarding the process for sustaining reforms after the funding period ends are addressed and thoroughly explained.

The LEA includes a comprehensive process for sustaining reforms after the funding period ends to meet the needs identified.

There is adequate evidence of a process for sustaining reforms after the funding period ends.

Most of the decisive factors regarding the process for sustaining reforms after the funding period ends are addressed and adequately explained.

Minor changes are needed to the LEA process for sustaining reforms after the funding period ends to meet the needs identified. There is inadequate evidence of a process for sustaining reforms after the funding period ends.

Some or none of the decisive factors regarding the process for sustaining reforms after the funding period ends are addressed and inadequately explained.

The plan is not consistent with the final requirements and the process for sustaining reforms after the funding period ends does not meet the identified needs.

Attachment H: Budget

School Improvement Grant (1003g) Section II -- BUDGET

School Year 2012 - 2013

Note: The total amount of funding per year must total no less than \$50,000 and no greater than \$2,000,000 per year.

| Corporation Name: | KIPP LEAD College Prep Charter | | |
|---------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|
| Corporation Number: | 9635 | | |
| School Name: | KIPP LEAD Middle School | | |

| ACCOUNT NO. | FTE | Cert. | Noncert. | EXPENDITURE DESCRIPTION | SUBTOTAL | LINE ITEM TOTAL |
|--|------------|----------|----------|--|----------|-----------------|
| 1. PERSONNEL (include | e position | s and na | ames) | | | |
| Principal, TBA | | Χ | | Signing bonus (\$30K) | \$30,000 | |
| Team Leader, TBA | 1.0 | Х | | Salary for building level administrator to support teacher quality for afterschool academy & SES | \$70,000 | |
| Team Leader, TBA | 1.0 | Х | | Salary for building level administrator to support teacher quality for instruction and data | \$70,000 | |
| Math Coach, TBA | 1.0 | Χ | | Salary for Math Coach | \$65,000 | |
| Literacy Coach, TBA | 1.0 | Χ | | Salary for Literacy Coach | \$65,000 | |
| Math Teacher, TBA | | Χ | | Pay differential for teacher shortage | \$15,000 | |
| Math Teacher, TBA | | Χ | | Pay differential for teacher shortage | \$15,000 | |
| Math Teacher, TBA | | Χ | | Pay differential for teacher shortage | \$15,000 | |
| RTI specialist, TBA | 1.0 | Χ | | Salary for RTI specialist | \$40,000 | |
| Behavior Interventionist, TBA | 1.0 | | х | Salary for Behavior Interventionist | \$45,000 | |
| Community Liaison, TBA | 1.0 | | х | Salary for Parent and Community Liaison | \$30,000 | |
| Technology Support Staff, TBA | 1.0 | | х | Salary for Technology Support Staff | \$30,000 | |
| Professional Development Substitute, TBA | 1.0 | х | | Salary for Professional Development Substitute | \$25,000 | |
| Student support | 1.0 | Χ | | Salary for Student support Counselor | \$50,000 | |

| 1.0 | Х | Salary for Operations & Budget Coordinator | \$50,000 | |
|--------------------|---|---|--|---|
| | | \$2,000 per classroom each year | \$40,000 | |
| | | Up to four additional data days and PD | \$50,000 | |
| | Х | Up to four additional data days and PD | \$15,000 | |
| | | Up to \$3,000 per year for reaching student outcomes | \$70,000 | |
| | Х | Up to \$1,000 per year for reaching student outcomes | \$10,000 | |
| 11 | TOTAL SALARIE | 'S | | 800,000 |
| in-state | | | | \$ 118,400 |
| f-state | | High Performing Urban School Visits | 10,000 | |
| ı-state | NWEA conferences | | | |
| | TOTAL TRAVEL | | | 11,000 |
| S: (List t | he type of contr | racted services to be provided, including the vendor's name, if a | applicable.) | |
| ing | On-site training | and coaching for teachers & principal | 30,000 | |
| | Data analysis Professional development for teachers and administrators | | | |
| North Star Academy | | | 8,000 | |
| | Canter, Inc. On-site training and coaching UMOJA Student development training and implementation | | 8,000 | |
| | | and coaching pment training and implementation | 5,000 | |
| | Student develo | | , | |
| | Student develo | pment training and implementation | 5,000 | |
|) | Student develo | pment training and implementation stence Training for staff y training for teachers | 5,000 | |
|) | Student develo Cultural Compe Cultural Literac Evaluation for t | pment training and implementation stence Training for staff y training for teachers | 5,000 4,000 10,000 | 72,000 |
| | Student develo Cultural Compe Cultural Literac Evaluation for t TOTAL CONTRA unt of materials | pment training and implementation etence Training for staff y training for teachers eachers | 5,000 4,000 10,000 10,000 | |
| f | 11 uld be b rcentage in-state f-state -state | X 11 TOTAL SALARIE uld be based on actual k rcentage of time devote Additional 5 scl in-state and out-of-state f-state TOTAL TRAVEL S: (List the type of contring On-site training | \$2,000 per classroom each year Up to four additional data days and PD X Up to four additional data days and PD Up to \$3,000 per year for reaching student outcomes X Up to \$1,000 per year for reaching student outcomes 11 TOTAL SALARIES uld be based on actual known costs or an established formula. Fixed charges/benefits reentage of time devoted to this project. Additional 5 school days(already calculated in school salaries of 190 days) in-state and out-of-state) f-state High Performing Urban School Visits NWEA conferences TOTAL TRAVEL S: (List the type of contracted services to be provided, including the vendor's name, if a ling On-site training and coaching for teachers & principal | \$2,000 per classroom each year \$40,000 Up to four additional data days and PD \$50,000 X Up to four additional data days and PD \$15,000 Up to \$3,000 per year for reaching student outcomes \$70,000 X Up to \$1,000 per year for reaching student outcomes \$10,000 11 TOTAL SALARIES uld be based on actual known costs or an established formula. Fixed charges/benefits below are for reentage of time devoted to this project. Additional 5 school days(already calculated in school salaries of 190 days) in-state and out-of-state) f-state High Performing Urban School Visits 10,000 TOTAL TRAVEL S: (List the type of contracted services to be provided, including the vendor's name, if applicable.) ing On-site training and coaching for teachers & principal 30,000 |

| | TOTAL EQUIPMENT AND TECHNOLOGY | | 70,000 | | | |
|--|---|--------|--------|--|--|--|
| 7. OTHER SERVICES: (Include a specific description of services.) | | | | | | |
| | Parental and community monthly engagement event | 5,000 | | | | |
| | Read 180 | 90,000 | | | | |
| | TOTAL OTHER SERVICES | | 95,000 | | | |
| TOTAL ANTICIPATED EXPENDITURES (SUM OF SECTIONS 1-7 OF THIS FORM). 1,176,400 | | | | | | |

SUPPLIES: The following list represents the anticipated materials and supplies purchases.

| QUANTITY | DESCRIPTION | UNIT PRICE | TOTAL PRICE |
|----------|---|------------|-------------|
| 1 | Mastery Manager scanning testing system | \$ - | \$ 7,000 - |
| | Office supplies | \$ - | \$ 3,000 - |
| | TOTAL SUPPLIES COSTS | | \$ 10,000 - |

EQUIPMENT AND TECHNOLOGY: The following list represents the anticipated equipment and technology purchases.

| QUANTITY | DESCRIPTION | UNIT PRICE | TOTAL PRICE |
|----------|--------------------------------------|------------|--------------|
| 2 | Macbook carts | \$ 37,000 | \$ 70,000 |
| | | \$ - | \$ - |
| | TOTAL EQUIPMENT AND TECHNOLOGY COSTS | | \$ 70,000 |

LEA/GOVERNANCE: List below activities for LEA-level activities, including pre-implementation activities. Clearly explain/identify requested amounts to a specific element and/or activity. Funds budgeted here will be included in the maximum amount available per school.

| LEA- Level Activities | Pre-Implementation Activities | Explanation of requested amounts |
|--------------------------|---|---|
| Hiring Staff | Posting positions, dismissal of principal and unsatisfactory staff. | After five years of having less than 65% of students pass ELA and/or math the school is in need of leaders and teachers to improve instruction. The grant will be used to recruit quality staff, with salaries that will be able to compete with other districts. A culture of high achievement will emerge from this grant to sustain the new culture of academic achievement. |
| Student Interventions | Research professional development organizations Boys Town, Fred Jones Classroom Management, peer jury, peace circles and professional development. | The staff will receive quality professional development prior to the beginning of the school year and ongoing training throughout the year on classroom management (Fred Jones), Boy Town Model, peer jury, and peace circles. |
| Training Staff | Survey of teacher needs, scheduling of professional developments. Research places to host team building activities. | This grant will subsidizes the cost to meet the professional development needs of teachers; weekly large PD's, quarterly Saturday afternoons, PD 360, and two instructional coaches to perform and support the pd at the school. |

School Improvement Grant (1003g) Section II -- BUDGET

School Year 2013 - 2014

Note: The total amount of funding per year must total no less than \$50,000 and no greater than \$2,000,000 per year.

| Corporation Name: | KIPP LEAD College Prep |
|---------------------|------------------------|
| Corporation Number: | 9635 |
| School Name: | KIPP Middle School |

| ACCOUNT NO. | FTE | Cert. | Noncert. | EXPENDITURE DESCRIPTION | SUBTOTAL | LINE ITEM TOTAL |
|--|--------------|--------|----------|--|----------|-----------------|
| 1. PERSONNEL (inclu | de positions | and na | mes) | | | |
| Principal, TBA | | Χ | | Bonus (based on student performance) | \$30,000 | |
| Team Leader, TBA | 1.0 | Х | | Salary for building level administrator to support teacher quality for afterschool academy & SES | \$72,500 | |
| Team Leader, TBA | 1.0 | Х | | Salary for Building level administrator to support teacher quality for instruction and data | \$72,500 | |
| Math Coach, TBA | 1.0 | Χ | | Salary for Math Coach | \$67,000 | |
| Literacy Coach, TBA | 1.0 | Χ | | Salary for Literacy Coach | \$67,000 | |
| Math Teacher | | Χ | | Pay differential for teacher shortage | \$15,000 | |
| Math Teacher | | Χ | | Pay differential for teacher shortage | \$15,000 | |
| Math Teacher | | Χ | | Pay differential for teacher shortage | \$15,000 | |
| RTI specialist, TBA | 1.0 | Χ | | Salary for RTI specialist | \$41,500 | |
| Behavior specialist, TBA | 1.0 | Х | | Salary for Behavior Interventionist | \$46,500 | |
| Community Liaison, TBA | 1.0 | Х | | Salary for Parent & Community Liaison | \$31,000 | |
| Technology Support Staff, TBA | 1.0 | Х | | Salary for Technology Support Staff | \$31,000 | |
| Professional Development Substitute, TBA | 1.0 | Х | | Salary for Professional Development Substitute | \$26,000 | |
| Student Support Counselor, TBA | 1.0 | х | | Salary for Student Support Counselor | \$51,500 | |
| Operations & Budget Coordinator | 1.0 | Х | | Salary for Operations & Budget Coordinator | \$51,500 | |

| | 1 | | | 1 | | |
|------------------------|--------------------|---|-------------|--|------------|---------|
| Classroom Grants | | | | 2,000 per classroom | \$40,000 | |
| Extended time salaries | | х | | Up to four additional data days and PD | \$55,000 | |
| Extended time salaries | | | Х | Up to four additional data days and PD | \$17,000 | |
| Performance Stipend | | Х | | Up to \$3,000 for reaching student outcomes | \$70,000 | |
| Performance Stipend | | | Х | Up to \$1,500 for reaching student outcomes | \$10,000 | |
| | 11.0 | TOTA | L SALARIES | | | 825,000 |
| above and only for th | ne percentago | | | ool days(already calculated in school salaries of 190 days) | | 122,100 |
| 3. TRAVEL: (different | tiate in-state | and ou | t-of-state) | | | |
| | out-of-state | ASCD conference for administrators and teachers | | | 10,000 | |
| | in-state | | | NWEA conference | 1,000 | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | TOTA | L TRAVEL | | | 11,000 |
| 4. CONTRACTED SER | VICES: (List t | he type | of contrac | cted services to be provided, including the vendor's name, if ap | plicable.) | |
| Research for Better 1 | Гeaching | On-sit | e training | and coaching for teachers & Principal | 30,000 | |
| | UMOJA | Stude | nt develop | ment training and implementation | 7,500 | |
| Dr. Alfred Tatum | | Cultural Literacy training for teachers | | | 10,000 | |
| Harvard University | | Leadership institute for Principal & team leaders | | | 20,000 | |
| Boys Town | | Boys Town Model | | | 100,000 | |
| | Cornelius Ellen | Peer J | ury & Peac | te Circle Training | 25,000 | |
| | DR. | Specia | al Educatio | n & Differentiation training | 10,000 | |
| Iron Oaks | | Team | building | | 3,000 | |
| | | | | | | |

| | TOTAL CONTRACTED SERVICES | | \$ | 205,500 |
|---|---|-----------------------------|-------|---------------------------------|
| 5. SUPPLIES: Enter the total an programmatic and/or office su | nount of materials and supples. Provide a list of supplies on a separate soplies.) | heet. (Include the total an | nount | to be used to purchase testing, |
| | TOTAL SUPPLIES | | \$ | 10,000 |
| | OGY: Enter the total amount of equipment and technology purchases. It be, non-expendable/non-consumable personal property having a useful | | | |
| | TOTAL EQUIPMENT AND TECHNOLOGY | | \$ | 180,000 |
| 7. OTHER SERVICES: (Include a | specific description of services.) | | | |
| 10 | Monthly Parent & Community Engagement events | 10,000 | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | TOTAL OTHER SERVICES | | | 10,000 |
| TOTA | L ANTICIPATED EXPENDITURES (SUM OF SECTIONS 1-7 OF THIS FORM). | | Ć | 1,373,600 |

${\it SUPPLIES:}\ \ {\it The following list represents the anticipated materials and supplies purchases.}$

| QUANTITY | DESCRIPTION UNIT PR | | PRICE | TOTAL PRICE |
|----------|---|----|-------|--------------|
| | Office supplies, including binders & notebooks for all students | \$ | - | \$ 10,000 |
| | | \$ | - | \$ - |
| | | \$ | - | \$ - |
| | | \$ | - | \$ - |
| | | \$ | - | \$ - |
| | | \$ | - | \$ - |
| | | \$ | - | \$ - |
| | TOTAL SUPPLIES COSTS | | | \$ 10,000 |

EQUIPMENT AND TECHNOLOGY: The following list represents the anticipated equipment and technology purchases.

| QUANTITY | DESCRIPTION | UN | T PRICE | TOTAL PRICE |
|----------|--------------------------------------|------------|---------|---------------|
| 450 | IPAD per student | \$ | 400 | \$ 180,000 |
| | | \$ | - | \$ - |
| | | \$ | - | \$ - |
| | | \$ | - | \$ - |
| | | \$ | - | \$ - |
| | | \$ | - | \$ - |
| | | \$ | - | \$ - |
| | TOTAL EQUIPMENT AND TECHNOLOGY COSTS | 100 | | \$ - |
| | | - | | |

School Improvement Grant (1003g) Section II -- BUDGET

School Year 2014 - 2015

Note: The total amount of funding per year must total <u>no less than \$50,000</u> and <u>no greater than \$2,000,000</u> per year.

| Corporation Name: | KIPP LEAD College Prep Charter |
|---------------------|--------------------------------|
| Corporation Number: | 9635 |
| School Name: | KIPP LEAD Middle School |

| ACCOUNT NO. | FTE | Cert. | Noncert. | EXPENDITURE DESCRIPTION | SUBTOTAL | LINE ITEM TOTAL | |
|--|--|-------|----------|---|----------|-----------------|--|
| 1. PERSONNEL (inclu | 1. PERSONNEL (include positions and names) | | | | | | |
| Principal, TBA | | Х | | Bonus (based on student performance) | \$30,000 | | |
| Team Leader, TBA | 1.0 | Х | | Salary for building level administrator to support teacher quality for afterschool academy | \$74,000 | | |
| Team Leader, TBA | 1.0 | Х | | Salary for building level administrator to support teacher quality for instruction and data | \$74,000 | | |
| Math Coach, TBA | 1.0 | Χ | | Salary for Math Coach | \$69,000 | | |
| Literacy Coach, TBA | 1.0 | Χ | | Salary for Literacy Coach | \$69,000 | | |
| Math Teacher | | Х | | Pay differential for teacher shortage | \$15,000 | | |
| Math Teacher | | Х | | Pay differential for teacher shortage | \$15,000 | | |
| Math Teacher | | Х | | Pay differential for teacher shortage | \$15,000 | | |
| RTI specialist, TBA | 1.0 | Х | | Salary for RTI specialist | \$43,000 | | |
| Behavior specialist, TBA | 1.0 | Х | | Salary for Behavior Interventionist | \$48,000 | | |
| Community Liaison | 1.0 | | Х | Salary for Parent & Community Liaison | \$33,000 | | |
| Technology Support Staff, TBA | 1.0 | Х | | Salary for Technology Support Staff | \$33,000 | | |
| Professional Development Substitute, TBA | 1.0 | х | | Salary for Professional Development Substitute | \$26,000 | | |
| Student Support Counselor, TBA | 1.0 | Х | | Salary for Student Support Counselor | \$53,000 | | |
| Operations & Budget Coordinator | 1.0 | | Х | Salary for Operations & Budget Coordinator | \$53,000 | | |
| Classrooms grants | | | | 2,000 per classroom | \$40,000 | | |

| colorios | Х | | Up to four additional data days and PD | \$55,000 | |
|--|----------------------------------|---|--|--|------------|
| salaries Extended time | | + | | 4 | |
| salaries | | Х | Up to four additional data days and PD | \$17,000 | |
| Performance Stipend | Х | | Up to \$3,000 for reaching student outcomes | \$70,000 | |
| Performance Stipend | | X | Up to \$1,500 for reaching student outcomes | \$10,000 | |
| | TOTA | TOTAL SALARIES | | | \$842,000 |
| | only for the p | percentage | al known costs or an established formula. Fixed charges/benefit of time devoted to this project. | its below are fo | |
| | Addit | ional 5 sch | nool days(already calculated in school salaries of 190 days) | | \$ 124,616 |
| 3. TRAVEL: (differentiate | e in-state an | d out-of-st | tate) | | |
| out-of-s | out-of-state ASCD Conference | | ASCD Conference | \$ 10,000 | |
| in-s | tate | | NWEA Conference | \$1,000 | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | + | | | | \$11,000 |
| | TOTA | L TRAVEL | | | |
| 4. CONTRACTED SERVICE | | | ntracted services to be provided, including the vendor's name, | if applicable.) | |
| Research for Better | ES: (List the | type of co | ntracted services to be provided, including the vendor's name, aining for teachers and administrators | if applicable.) \$30,000 | |
| Research for Better | ES: (List the | type of co | | 1 | |
| Research for Better Teaching | ES: (List the Instru | type of co | aining for teachers and administrators oment training and implementation | \$30,000 | |
| Research for Better Teaching UMOJA | ES: (List the Instru Stude Cultu | type of couctional Tracent developeral Literacy | aining for teachers and administrators oment training and implementation | \$30,000 \$7,500 | |
| Research for Better Teaching UMOJA Dr. Alfred Tatum | Instru Stude Cultu Leade | type of couctional Tracent developeral Literacy | aining for teachers and administrators oment training and implementation / Training | \$30,000 \$7,500 \$10,000 | |
| Research for Better Teaching UMOJA Dr. Alfred Tatum Harvard University | Instru Stude Cultu Leade Team | type of co uctional Tra ent develop ral Literacy ership Insti | paining for teachers and administrators poment training and implementation / Training tute for Principal & Team Leaders | \$30,000 \$7,500 \$10,000 \$20,000 | |
| Research for Better Teaching UMOJA Dr. Alfred Tatum Harvard University Iron Oaks | Instru Stude Cultu Leade Team | type of co uctional Tra ent develop ral Literacy ership Insti | paining for teachers and administrators poment training and implementation / Training tute for Principal & Team Leaders | \$30,000 \$7,500 \$10,000 \$20,000 \$3,000 | |
| Research for Better Teaching UMOJA Dr. Alfred Tatum Harvard University Iron Oaks | Instru Stude Cultu Leade Team | type of co uctional Tra ent develop ral Literacy ership Insti | paining for teachers and administrators poment training and implementation / Training tute for Principal & Team Leaders | \$30,000 \$7,500 \$10,000 \$20,000 \$3,000 | |

| testing, programmatic and/o | r office supplies.) | | |
|-----------------------------|--|--------|------------------|
| | TOTAL SUPPLIES | | 10,000 |
| | DLOGY: Enter the total amount of equipment and technology purchases. Provide as "tangible, non-expendable/non-consumable personal property having a useful | | - , - |
| | TOTAL EQUIPMENT AND TECHNOLOGY | | 45,000 |
| 7. OTHER SERVICES: (Include | e a specific description of services.) | | |
| 10 | Monthly Parent & Community Engagement Event | 10,000 | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | TOTAL OTHER SERVICES | | 10,000 |
| TOTA | L ANTICIPATED EXPENDITURES (SUM OF SECTIONS 1-7 OF THIS FORM). | | 1,123,116 |

SUPPLIES: The following list represents the anticipated materials and supplies purchases.

| QUANTITY | DESCRIPTION | UI | NIT PRICE | TOTAL PRICE |
|----------|---|----|-----------|--------------|
| | Office supplies, including binders & notebooks for all students | \$ | - | \$ 10,000 |
| | | \$ | - | \$ - |
| | | \$ | - | \$ - |
| | | \$ | - | \$ - |
| | | \$ | - | \$ - |
| | | \$ | - | \$ - |
| | | \$ | - | \$ - |
| | TOTAL SUPPLIES COSTS | | | \$ 10,000 |

EQUIPMENT AND TECHNOLOGY: The following list represents the anticipated equipment and technology purchases.

| QUANTITY | DESCRIPTION | UNIT PRICE | TOTAL PRICE |
|----------|--------------|------------|-------------|
| 30 | Smart boards | \$ 1,500 | \$ 45,000 |
| | | \$ - | \$ - |

| TOTAL EQUIPMENT AND TECHNOLOGY COSTS | \$ Statataa | \$ 45,000 | |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|--------------|--|
| | \$ - | \$ - | |

Attachment F: Intervention Scoring Rubrics

Transformation

| Required Element | Possible Tasks: Score 3 Exceptional | Possible Tasks: Score 2 Adequate | Possible Tasks: Score I Inadequate | Score |
|--|---|--|---|-------|
| I. Replace the principal who led the school prior to implementing the model. | Principal is replaced with one that has evidence of a proven track record | Principal is replaced with one without evidence of a proven track record | Principal is replaced with one having an ineffective track record | |

| 2. Use evaluation systems for teachers and principals that consider student growth and assessments; develop with teacher/principal | Evaluation systems for principal and teachers includes multiple assessments aligned to student academic growth | Evaluation systems for principal and teachers includes a single assessment aligned to student academic growth | Evaluation systems for principal and teachers does not include an assessment aligned to student academic growth |
|--|---|--|--|
| involvement | Evaluation systems are developed with teachers' and principal involvement | Evaluation systems are developed with teachers' or principals involvement | Evaluation system development does not include involvement of principal or teachers |
| 3. Reward school leaders, teachers and staff who, in implementing the model, increase student achievement or high school graduation rates; remove those who, after professional development, have not. | Rewards for school leaders, teachers and staff implementing this model have been determined using tools and rubrics that are data driven and reflect an increase in student achievement or high school graduation rates. | Rewards for school leaders, teachers and staff implementing this model have been determined using tools and rubrics that are data driven and reflect an increase in student achievement or high school graduation rates. | Rewards for school leaders, teachers and staff implementing this model have been determined using tools and rubrics that are data driven and reflect an increase in student achievement or high school graduation rates. |
| | The awards correspond to effective practices of retaining teachers such as improving working conditions, increasing financial compensation, and/or providing job promotions as identified by staff through a survey or needs assessment | The awards correspond to effective practices of retaining teachers such as improving working conditions, increasing financial compensation, and/or providing job promotions | Awards not described or do not correspond to effective practices of retaining teachers and thus are unlikely motivators |

| | o Provides a comprehensive, effective, and logical process for assisting teachers (e.g., providing additional professional, mentoring) who are not improving student learning or graduation rates; plan must provide an implementation timeline and pathways for improvement or release | Provides description of effective and logical process for assisting teachers (e.g., providing additional professional, mentoring) who are not improving student learning or graduation rates | Description for assisting teachers who are not improving student learning or graduation rates is not given, not detailed, or not likely to change teachers' practices |
|--|---|--|---|
| 4. Provide high-quality, job embedded professional development | Topics of professional development are determined by SIG goals, needs assessments, and other data points; professional development is differentiated by teacher need | Topics of professional development are connected to the SIG goals, needs assessments, and other data points; not differentiated by teacher need | Topics of professional development are disparate; do not align to SIG goals, needs assessments or other data points; established by the LEA; not differentiated by teacher need |
| | Professional development is conducted weekly through job- embedded opportunities at the school | Professional development is conducted monthly through job- embedded opportunities at the school | Professional development is rarely provided at the school; usually occurs as a whole district |
| | Professional development includes vertical and horizontal collaboration, coaching and mentoring, data analysis, and determining appropriate curriculum and instruction | Professional development often includes vertical collaboration; may include coaching and mentoring, data analysis, or determining appropriate curriculum and instruction | Focus of professional development is not related to teacher collaboration, coaching and mentoring, data analysis or curriculum and instruction |
| 5. Implement strategies to recruit, place, and retain staff | Recruitment and retention of staff includes at least three strategies known to be effective, such as improving | Recruitment and retention of staff includes at least two strategies known to be effective, such as improving working | Strategies for recruitment and retention do not correspond with strategies known to be effective |

| | | working conditions, providing higher salaries, and offering job promotions Mentors and/or coaches are provided for all staff | conditions, providing higher salaries, and/or offering job promotions Mentors and/or coaches are provided for identified groups of teachers, such as newer teachers or those changing grade levels | ○ Mentors nor coaches are included |
|----|--|--|--|--|
| 6. | Provide increased learning time for students and staff | Provides increased, intentional learning time driven by student data indicated for all students and staff | Provides increased learning time for all students and staff | Does not provide increased learning time for all students and staff |
| | | Time is of extensive length (at least 300 hours) to potentially increase learning | Time is of sufficient length (at least 180 hours) to potentially increase learning | Time is not of sufficient length (90 hours or less) to create change |
| 7. | Use data to implement an aligned instructional program | LEA provides multiple assessments and data points through technology-based resources for the school to align its instructional program | LEA provides some assess-ments and data with minimal technology for the school to align its instructional program | LEA provides minimal assessments with no data; technology is not used |
| | | LEA provides intensive and ongoing professional development in conducting and using assessment results to inform instructional decision making throughout the year | LEA provides professional development in conducting and using assessment results to inform instruction throughout the year | LEA rarely provides professional development for teachers to increase skills in conducting assessments and using results to inform instruction |

| 8. Promote the use of data to inform and differentiate instruction | o Provides frequent structured time (e.g., weekly) for teachers to collaborate and analyze student data and make instructional decisions | Provide regular time (e.g., monthly) for teachers to collaborate and analyze student data and make instructional decisions | Rarely provides time for teachers to collaborate and analyze student data and make instructional decisions |
|--|--|--|--|
| | Provides extended, job- embedded professional development that includes observation and coaching to increase knowledge of differentiated instruction | Provides job-embedded professional development to increase knowledge of differentiated instruction | Provides professional development that occurs outside of the classroom and does not focus on live student data or on improving differentiated instruction |
| Provide mechanism for family and community engagement | o LEA conducts a comprehensive, community-wide assessment to identify the major factors that significantly affect the academic achievement of students in the school, including an inventory of the resources in the community that could be aligned, integrated, and coordinated to address these challenges. | LEA conducts a basic, community-wide assessment to identify the major factors that significantly affect the academic achievement of students in the school, including an inventory of the resources in the community that could be aligned, integrated, and coordinated to address these challenges. | LEA did not conduct a community-wide assessment to identify the major factors that significantly affect the academic achievement of students in the school, including an inventory of the resources in the community that could be aligned, integrated, and coordinated to address these challenges. |
| 10. Give school sufficient operational flexibility | LEA provides a comprehensive documents or plan that indicates areas that will grant significant operational decisions to the school | LEA provides a document or plan that indicates areas that will grant minor operational decisions to the school | LEA does not provide a document or plan that indicates authority will be granted to the school to make operational decisions; or the decisions allowed are not of significance. |
| 11. LEA, SEA, or designated external | Multiple supports detailed; occur throughout the year | Some supports detailed; occur throughout the year | No supports are described; support appears sporadic |

| partner(s) assist the school with ongoing technical assistance and support | Multiple support for both teachers and principals are in place | 0 | Some supports for both teachers and principals are in place | 0 | Support for both teachers and principals are not in place or transparent | |
|---|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| | Provided by external, experienced leaders in change and in the school model | 0 | Provided by external leaders in change with knowledge of the identified school model | 0 | Provided by district staff or others without proven track records in school change or the model | |

Total Score____/66

C. Budget

Instructions:

- 4) Complete the budget pages provided in the attached Excel file for the three years. Choose each "tab" for years 2011-2012, 2012-2013, 2013-2014.
- 5) Indicate the amount of school improvement funds the school will use for each year of the grant period to implement the selected model in the school it commits to serve.
- 6) The total amount of funding per year must total no less than \$50,000 and no greater than \$2,000,000 per year.

Note: The LEA's budget must cover the period of availability, including any extension wanted through a waiver, and be of sufficient size and scope to implement the selected school improvement model in the school(s) the LEA commits to serve. It would be permissible to include LEA-level activities designed to support implementation of the selected school improvement model in the LEA's school.

D. Assurances

| KIPP LEAD College Prep Charter/KIPP LEAD Middle School | assures that it will |
|--|----------------------|
| Corporation/Charter School Name | |

- _X_ I. Use its School Improvement Grant to implement fully and effectively an intervention in each school that the LEA commits to serve consistent with the final requirements.
- _X__ 2. Establish annual goals for student achievement on the State's assessments for both English/language arts and mathematics and measure progress on the leading indicators in section III of the final requirements in order to monitor each school that it serves with school improvement funds.
- _X_ 3. If it implements a restart model in a school, include in its contract or agreement terms and provisions to hold the charter operation, charter management organization, or education management organization accountable for complying with the final requirements.
- _X_ 4. Report to the SEA the school-level data required under section III of the final requirements.

E. Waivers

The LEA must check each waiver that the LEA will implement. If the LEA does not intend to implement the waiver with respect to each applicable school, the LEA must indicate for which schools it will implement the waiver.

☑ Extending the period of availability of school improvement funds.

Note: Indiana has requested a waiver of the period of availability of school improvement funds and upon receipt, that waiver automatically applies to all LEAs in the State.

II. Selection of Improvement Model

| Based on our findings of the three data s | sources, the LEA is selecting this model for this school: |
|---|---|
| ☐ Turnaround | ☐ Restart |
| X Transformation | ☐ Closure |

Attachment A: LEA Budget Capacity Scoring Rubric

| Capacity Task | Yes | No | IDOE Comments |
|--|-----|----|---------------|
| The budget includes attention to each element of the selected intervention. All models | | | |
| The budget is sufficient and appropriate to support the full and effective implementation of the intervention for three years. All models | | | |
| Projected budgets meet the requirements of reasonable, allocable, and allowable. All models | | | |
| 4. The budget is planned at a minimum of \$50,000 and does not exceed two million per year per school. All models | | | |
| 5. The district has the resources to serve the number of Tier I, II, and III schools that are indicated. All models | | | |

| | | |
|-----|---|--|
| | | |
| | | |
| 6. | A clear alignment exists between the goals and interventions model and the funding request (budget). | |
| ΑII | models | |
| | Funding requests for identified interventions are proportionately balanced and demonstrate an equitable distribution as identified in the SIG application | |
| | Funding should directly impact the schools improvement processes for supporting prescriptive and intentional designed interventions | |
| | Funding of programs, models, professional development, and staff should be directly linked to a School Improvement Goal identified in the SIG application | |
| | Funding supports the schools current capacity to improve student achievement | |
| 7. | The LEA and school staff has the | |
| | credentials and a demonstrated track record to implement the selected model. | |
| ΑII | models | |
| | Data portfolios of incoming staff/leaders | |
| | Highly Qualified in content of contractual agreement | |
| | Samples of implemented school improvement plans with documented outcomes using data | |

| II. The superintendent is fully committed to eliminating barriers to allow for the full implementation of the selected model. All models Superintendent Assurance School Board Meeting Minutes from proposal and or discussion Superintendent SIG Presentation Creation of a new turnaround office (or reorganization if additional schools are being | |
|--|--|
| added within a district) with an appointed turnaround leader having significant and successful experience in changing schools | |
| 12. The teacher's union is fully committed to | |
| eliminating barriers to allow for the full | |
| implementation of the model, including but | |
| not limited to teacher evaluations, hiring | |
| and dismissal procedures and length of the school day. | |
| Turnaround, Transformation Models | |
| Teacher Union Assurance | |
| An outline of amendments to SIG Teacher contracts that will allow for full implementation of the identified model | |
| | |
| The district has the ability to recruit new principals. | |

| Streamline and scaffolded focus aligned to key findings and root causes in SIG application | |
|---|--|
| District staff has high levels of expertise and successful experience in researching, and implementing the selected intervention model. Turnaround, Transformation, Restart Models Professional Development sign in sheets aligned to SIG funded PD Support framework of district staff aligned to areas of need as identified in the SIG application (Staff member, area of expertise, support provided to the school, frequency) | |
| 17. The school community has been purposefully engaged multiple times to inform them of progress and seek their input. All models Town Hall Meetings Town Hall Meeting Postings (news paper, district website, parent newsletters, public flyers) Town Hall sign in sheets Community Partner Assurances Documentation of mailings | |

| 18. The district demonstrates the ability to align federal, state, and local funding sources with grant activities. All models | |
|--|--|
| Title I Title III IDEA E-Rate TAP | |
| 19. The district demonstrates the ability and commitment to increased instructional time. | |
| Turnaround, Transformation Models Increased instructional time is structured and embedded into the schools' daily schedule and or school calendar | |
| Increased learning time for students is tiered and supported by licensed and/or highly qualified educators | |
| A needs assessment has been completed to identify areas where extended time can be most effectively used | |
| Increased learning time is structured as a vehicle to support differentiated learning (ex:) | |
| An additional block of time embedded into the school day | |
| Summer enrichment/remediation | |

| Saturday intervention | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Before or after school enrichment/remediation | | | |
| School vacation weeks | | | |
| Compensation for extended day is identified by the LEA | | | |

| LEA/GOVERNANCE: List below activities for LEA-level activities, including pre-implementation activities. Clearly explain/identify requested amounts to a specific element and/or activity. Funds budgeted here will be included in the maximum amount available per second control of the maximum amount available per | |
|---|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

Attachment D: Alignment of Other Funding Sources to SIG Elements

| Element of the Intervention | Intervention | Resource | | | |
|---|----------------|---|--|--|--|
| Federal Resources | | | | | |
| Use of research-based instructional practices that are vertically aligned across grade levels and the state standards | Transformation | Title I, Part A - regular and stimulus funds (school wide or targeted assistance programs) | | | |
| Assistance with design and implementation of improvement plan including high-quality jobembedded professional development designed to assist schools in implementing the intervention model | Transformation | 1003(a) School Improvement Grant - AYP funds | | | |
| Recruitment of teaching staff with skills and experience to effectively implement the selected intervention model | Transformation | Title II, Part A | | | |
| State Resources | | | | | |
| Focuses on early grade level intervention to improve the reading readiness and reading skills of students who are at risk of not learning to read. | Transformation | Full day Kindergarten grant | | | |

Attachment E: Intervention Scoring Rubrics

Transformation

| Required Element | Possible Tasks: Score 3 | Possible Tasks: | Possible Tasks: | Score |
|---|--|--|--|-------|
| | Exceptional | Score 2 Adequate | Score I Inadequate | |
| I. Replace the principal who led the school prior to implementing the model. | Principal is replaced with one that has evidence of a proven track record | Principal is replaced with one without evidence of a proven track record | Principal is replaced with one having an ineffective track record | |
| 2. Use evaluation systems for teachers and principals that consider student growth and assessments; develop with teacher/principal | Evaluation systems for principal and teachers includes multiple assessments aligned to student academic growth | Evaluation systems for principal and teachers includes a single assessment aligned to student academic growth | Evaluation systems for principal and teachers does not include an assessment aligned to student academic growth | |
| involvement | Evaluation systems are developed with teachers' and principal involvement | Evaluation systems are developed with teachers' or principals involvement | Evaluation system development does not include involvement of principal or teachers | |
| 4. Reward school leaders, teachers and staff who, in implementing the model, increase student achievement or high school graduation rates; remove those who, after professional | Rewards for school leaders, teachers and staff implementing this model have been determined using tools and rubrics that are data driven and reflect an increase in student achievement or high school graduation rates. | Rewards for school leaders, teachers and staff implementing this model have been determined using tools and rubrics that are data driven and reflect an increase in student achievement or high school graduation rates. | Rewards for school leaders, teachers and staff implementing this model have been determined using tools and rubrics that are data driven and reflect an increase in student achievement or high school graduation rates. | |

| development, have not. | The awards correspond to effective practices of retaining teachers such as improving working conditions, increasing financial compensation, and/or providing job promotions as identified by staff through a survey or needs assessment | The awards correspond to effective practices of retaining teachers such as improving working conditions, increasing financial compensation, and/or providing job promotions | Awards not described or do not correspond to effective practices of retaining teachers and thus are unlikely motivators |
|--|---|--|---|
| | o Provides a comprehensive, effective, and logical process for assisting teachers (e.g., providing additional professional, mentoring) who are not improving student learning or graduation rates; plan must provide an implementation timeline and pathways for improvement or release | Provides description of effective and logical process for assisting teachers (e.g., providing additional professional, mentoring) who are not improving student learning or graduation rates | Description for assisting teachers who are not improving student learning or graduation rates is not given, not detailed, or not likely to change teachers' practices |
| 4. Provide high-quality, job embedded professional development | Topics of professional development are determined by SIG goals, needs assessments, and other data points; professional development is differentiated by teacher need | Topics of professional development are connected to the SIG goals, needs assessments, and other data points; not differentiated by teacher need | Topics of professional development are disparate; do not align to SIG goals, needs assessments or other data points; established by the LEA; not differentiated by teacher need |
| | Professional development is conducted weekly through job- embedded opportunities at the school | Professional development is conducted monthly through job- embedded opportunities at the school | Professional development is rarely provided at the school; usually occurs as a whole district |
| | Professional development includes vertical and | Professional development often includes vertical collaboration; | Focus of professional development is not related to teacher collaboration, |

| | horizontal collaboration, coaching and mentoring, data analysis, and determining appropriate curriculum and instruction | may include coaching and mentoring, data analysis, or determining appropriate curriculum and instruction | coaching and mentoring, data analysis or curriculum and instruction |
|---|---|--|--|
| 5. Implement strategies to recruit, place, and retain staff | Recruitment and retention of staff includes at least three strategies known to be effective, such as improving working conditions, providing higher salaries, and offering job promotions | Recruitment and retention of staff includes at least two strategies known to be effective, such as improving working conditions, providing higher salaries, and/or offering job promotions | Strategies for recruitment and retention do not correspond with strategies known to be effective |
| | Mentors and/or coaches are provided for all staff | Mentors and/or coaches are provided for identified groups of teachers, such as newer teachers or those changing grade levels | Mentors nor coaches are included |
| 6. Provide increased learning time for students and staff | Provides increased, intentional learning time driven by student data indicated for all students and staff | Provides increased learning time for all students and staff | Does not provide increased learning time for all students and staff |
| | Time is of extensive length (at least 300 hours) to potentially increase learning | Time is of sufficient length (at least 180 hours) to potentially increase learning | Time is not of sufficient length (90 hours or less) to create change |
| 7. Use data to implement an aligned instructional program | LEA provides multiple assessments and data points through technology-based resources for the school to align its instructional | LEA provides some assess-ments and data with minimal technology for the school to align its instructional program | LEA provides minimal assessments with no data; technology is not used |

| | program | | |
|--|---|--|---|
| | LEA provides intensive and ongoing professional development in conducting and using assessment results to inform instructional decision making throughout the year | LEA provides professional development in conducting and using assessment results to inform instruction throughout the year | LEA rarely provides professional development for teachers to increase skills in conducting assessments and using results to inform instruction |
| 8. Promote the use of data to inform and differentiate instruction | Provides frequent structured time (e.g., weekly) for teachers to collaborate and analyze student data and make instructional decisions | Provide regular time (e.g., monthly) for teachers to collaborate and analyze student data and make instructional decisions | Rarely provides time for teachers to collaborate and analyze student data and make instructional decisions |
| | Provides extended, job- embedded professional development that includes observation and coaching to increase knowledge of differentiated instruction | Provides job-embedded professional development to increase knowledge of differentiated instruction | Provides professional development that occurs outside of the classroom and does not focus on live student data or on improving differentiated instruction |
| 9. Provide mechanism for family and community engagement | LEA conducts a comprehensive, community- wide assessment to identify the major factors that significantly affect the academic achievement of students in the school, including an inventory of the resources in the community that could be aligned, integrated, and coordinated to address these challenges. | LEA conducts a basic, community-wide assessment to identify the major factors that significantly affect the academic achievement of students in the school, including an inventory of the resources in the community that could be aligned, integrated, and coordinated to address these challenges. | LEA did not conduct a community- wide assessment to identify the major factors that significantly affect the academic achievement of students in the school, including an inventory of the resources in the community that could be aligned, integrated, and coordinated to address these challenges. |
| 10. Give school sufficient | LEA provides a | o LEA provides a document or plan | LEA does not provide a document or |

| operational flexibility | comprehensive documents or plan that indicates areas that will grant significant operational decisions to the school | that indicates areas that will grant minor operational decisions to the school | plan that indicates authority will be granted to the school to make operational decisions; or the decisions allowed are not of significance. |
|---|--|---|--|
| II. LEA, SEA, or designated external partner(s) assist the school with ongoing technical assistance and support | Multiple supports detailed; occur throughout the year | Some supports detailed; occur throughout the year | No supports are described; support appears sporadic |
| | Multiple support for both teachers and principals are in place | Some supports for both teachers and principals are in place | Support for both teachers and principals are not in place or transparent |